

## HOLT NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN – DRAFT 1.5 CONSULTATION

### VISION – FEEDBACK COMMENTS & RESPONSES

Feedback comments	Response	Action
<b>General/other</b>		
Excellent Neighbourhood Plan.	No comment	No action
I think this is excellent and captures what has drawn and will keep me in Holt as a great place to live.	No comment	No action
Ensure the village’s cultural, historical and natural heritage, through encouraging the establishment of a working archive, which might include stimulating publications that promote the significance of Holt, in these terms.	Part of the Plan?	Add?
Encourage the establishment of a museum, which could bring together not only the story and provenance of the village, but also might offer an appropriate cultural and social setting, stimulating an historical endurance.	Part of the Plan?	Add?
The need of a replacement postbox at the east end of the village now the old post office box is out of commission.	This has now been done.	No action
If there are to be more residents in the same space the density of dwellings must increase. Many will have little or no private open space. An extension of the built-up boundaries by increasing the length 'built-up' especially towards Forwards Common would decrease the attractiveness of the route for through traffic and would potentially reduce the approach speed. Properties which include sizeable vegetable gardens reduce waste and save energy. The recent covering of a one time illegal car-park in a several feet depth of hardcore has already put paid to one of the best of the green spaces. While green fields and farmland may be ideal it is unrealistic. I see no reason why the village should not take its share of renewable energy generation – the proposed solar site near the canal and Trowbridge Sewage Works may be an ideal spot for such a venture.	Covered in more detail in Section 1 Housing.  We do not believe in extending parish boundaries at this point.  There is a proposal for a solar farm at the southern end of the village near the Trowbridge Sewage Works.	No action

<b>A village with the same boundaries as today, surrounded by green spaces and farmland.</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Perhaps land on the Staverton side of the river to transfer to another parish on Trowbridge side.</li> <li>Possibly consider hiving off part of Gt Bradford Wood to Staverton as it does not seem to be part of Holt.</li> </ul>	There is now a proposal to use part of this land for a solar farm which might directly benefit Holt so we believe it is important to keep this land.	Delete this proposal.
In the present climate, is this a realistic option?	We believe it is.	No action
'A moderately enlarged population' – could be housed on brownfield sites but even the Tannery is contaminated. So I think the village may have to accept some boundary change.	We believe we should maintain the current boundaries.	No action
<b>Within those boundaries, a moderately enlarged population housed on brownfield sites rather than infill building or on greenfield sites.</b>		
Avoiding 'packed-in housing into any space going.	Agree, covered in H1.5	No action
'moderately enlarged population' – limited.	We believe 'moderately' is limited.	No action
No more people.	Not realistic.	No action
<b>Infrastructure services fit for the number and mix of people who live in Holt – now and for the next 20 years.</b>		
Retail facilities; should there be a restriction on where and what these should be.	Covered in Section 3.	No action
Pavements in Holt need to be reset to aid wheelchairs more safety.	Good point.	Add to Other Transport
<b>Further improvement to the community resources which have contributed to Holt's thriving village spirit, as well as the creation of additional facilities.</b>		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The older children urgently need leisure facilities e.g. skateboard area.</li> <li>• Build a skatepark.</li> <li>• Skatepark for the younger community.</li> <li>• Holt is in desperate need of facilities for teen children. A skatepark facility is critical. There are a lot of skateboarders who have nowhere to skate apart from carparks and roads. This is dangerous and damages new surfaces. Having this facility will keep children active and out of trouble. For this to work, there needs to be planning involvement with the children who will use it. If it is not good, they will</li> </ul>	We support this in principle and believe it could be added to CA2.1.	Add to CA2.1
Sports field available more in school holidays. Coaching sessions for football, rugby etc.	Good idea.	Add to Community Amenities
There needs to be a young person's wine/coffee bar (modern in look and music). This would keep the older teenagers in the village – there is nowhere that is directed to this age group. It could be used for private parties too – there is no venue for these in Holt.		
Also more basketball facilities. If grown-ups playing tennis, youths cannot use this netball area.	Good idea.	Add to Community Amenities
<b>An all-round reduction in through traffic, including speed management, HGV control and adequate parking provision.</b>		
Care needs to be taken with any reduction of through traffic as it is suspected that a reasonable proportion of Holt Superstores trade is from through traffic. The loss of the store I feel would have a large detrimental effect on the village.	We agree sustaining the shop is important and traffic proposals should ensure this.	No action
Keep – but no bypass because although traffic can be heavy, a bypass often 'kills' off a village.	Proposal T4.1 is simply to research opinion.	No action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adequate parking for residents not just visitors!!</li> <li>• Priority must be given to parking provision for residents – we pay the rates after all!</li> </ul>	The intention is that providing additional parking for visitors and workers will free up space for residents.	No action
'Speed management' – not humps.	Proposal is for speed management not humps.	No action

Traffic calming-reduction very important.	Agree.	No action
Improve the management of through traffic instead of 'all round reduction'.	The Plan has proposals to do both.	No action
I do not see it possible for reducing traffic in Holt, yes maybe HGVs, especially with development all around and also the population expanding.	Reduction in HGVs would be an important improvement.	No action
Traffic reduction is not going to happen.	This remains a priority.	No action
What does 'speed management' mean? If it means enforcing existing speed limits, fine, keep it. If it means reducing speed limits, particularly introducing variable speed limits, then drop it. If it means artificially reducing traffic speed by anything like speed humps or chicanes leading to single lane carriageways, then definitely drop it.	The intention is to achieve an overall reduction in speed through the village; precise details of the means tbc.	No action