

## HOLT NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN – DRAFT 1.5 CONSULTATION

### Community Amenities – Feedback response

Objective no.	Feedback comments	Response	Action
CA1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If we had a new hall/sports centre then the present hall and sports pavilion would be redundant but must ensure any new building is done before the old is destroyed.</li> <li>• It would be such a pity to lose the present village hall, but a Community Centre with facilities for family activities/youth groups etc would be great for the village.</li> </ul>	Agree	<b>Amend wording of Plan</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why build a new one?</li> <li>• The current village hall seems appropriate to current need and for the foreseeable future.</li> <li>• Would not consider this as a priority. Other issues more important.</li> <li>• Not needed – existing village hall is a thriving concern.</li> <li>• The existing village hall appears to satisfactorily meet all the requirements of this type of facility.</li> <li>• Do we really need a new village hall?</li> </ul>	Possible reasons for new building are outlined in the Plan – these comments do not negate them	<b>None</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully support any future objective that removes young people from the roads.</li> </ul>	Agree	<b>TBC</b>

CA2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eleven statements of support for the provision of a skate park.</li> <li>• Replace the underused 2nd tennis court with a skatepark – more children will use it than the small number of privileged middle class adults who can occasionally be seen on it.</li> <li>• Skatepark in place of 2nd tennis court.</li> <li>• How many hours a day does a tennis court have to remain unused before it can be converted to a skateboard park?</li> </ul>	This is a recurring request and should be added to the Plan	<b>Add</b>
	Other comments on Amenities included under appropriate sections of Responses		