# **HOLT NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN – DRAFT 1.5 CONSULTATION**

# TRAFFIC FEEDBACK

# Total forms received – 50

Not everybody responded to every issue, so the totals vary. There was also some ambiguity in the form as many people didn't tick the overall Objectives boxes but appear to have assumed these were headings, hence low scores.

	Кеер	Amend	Drop
Objective T1: Define, agree, action improvements to the B3107 to create	16	0	1
a better balance between the needs of motorists, pedestrians and cyclists			
T1.1 Improve the safety of the road alongside the Recreation Ground for	36	4	3
pedestrians by relocating the pavement inside the Recreation Ground			
T1.2 Redesign the junction between the B3107 and western end of The	36	3	5
Midlands to accommodate increased traffic flows			
T1.3 Create an informal pedestrian crossing between the Village Hall car	36	4	4
park and The Courts			
T1.4 Either improve the junction between the B3107, the eastern end of	39	3	2
The Midlands and Station Road or restrict this access to motor cars only			
T1.5 Reduce the speed limit to 20 mph on the B3107 between the	37	4	8
junction with the eastern end of The Midlands and the junction with The			
Gravel; introduce the same limit on Station Road			
T1.6 Reduce the B3107 to a consistent width at the junction of Little	39	2	4
Parks by providing additional residents' parking on the northern side			
T1.7 Create a new protected parking area on one side of Melksham Road	35	3	7
sheltered by build-outs to slow the traffic			
Objective T2: Improve road markings and signage within the village	14	0	0
T2.1 Improve the signage of the mini roundabout at The Tollgate to slow	39	2	4
traffic approaching the village gateway from the west			
T2.2 Renew and maintain all white-painted road markings within the	38	1	1
village			
T2.3 Implement the agreed addition of double-yellow lines in The	37	3	4
Midlands			
T2.4 Introduce single white lines to discourage parking where this is	29	10	3
undesirable			
T2.5 Agree a general re-design and simplification of signage alongside	33	3	4
the B3107 and in the centre of the village			
Objective T3: Bring about an overall reduction in traffic volumes of HGVs	13	1	1
T3.1 Campaign for a ban on HGVs over 18 tonnes passing though Holt	45	3	0
once the Hilperton Gap Relief Road (HGRR) is completed			
Objective T4: Consider the longer-term option of a bypass around the	11	0	3
village			

1	Γ4.1	Research opinion within the village as to the desirability and	38	2	8
F	possible route for this; engage with the HA to research its feasibility				

#### **COMMENTS**

### General

- There continues to be a large volume of traffic which is not local to the village but just passing through. The main priority should be to keep this traffic moving at a suitable (realistic maximum) steady speed with minimum obstruction to its smooth flow. There is a thread running through this section which seems to assume that anything which reduces traffic speed is good. I understand that recent surveys have shown that in fact exceeding the speed limit is not a serious problem. I feel that the few who do so will not change their habits or behaviour if new restrictions, inconvenient and irritating to everyone, are introduced. I would not, in principle, be against a speed limit of 20mph right through the village, although I seem to remember that such is not allowed on a road of B grading. Such a limit would have very little effect on passage times through the village. Unfortunately, the general perception, especially with people just passing through, would be that it is an annoying and unnecessary restriction and, unless very strongly policed, would be widely ignored bringing the regulation into disrepute.
- Page 5 Traffic, Parking etc. This should be adjusted to match the vision that requires adequate parking for residents and workers as well as visitors. It seems to me that following the opening of the Glove parking lot the real growing problem is parking for residents.
- Page 15 The last PC vehicle count registered over 10K vehicles per day. The Kingston Farm traffic assessment has been done and plans approved. Earlier you said that 20mph restriction required at the zebra here it says that the zebra slows the traffic.
- Pages 15, 16, 17 and 18 are a long description of problems some of which have tackled earlier. No solutions are proposed.

# T.1

- In general, improve pedestrian footpaths throughout the village many are in a very poor state of repair. Also need to consider disabled access and use of pavements.
- Emphasise improving pavements and footpaths.
- Raise pavements on B3107 where traffic regularly mounts them e.g. between junctions of The Gravel and Station Road.

# T1.1

- OR cutting away some of the encroaching bank and building up a proper pavement. Also, reducing the width of the opposite pavement to accommodate parking and give more for the Recreation side pavement.
- With the proviso that removing existing pavement does not <u>increase</u> the speed of vehicles through the village.
- Provided it does not significantly reduce the overall area for games etc, and does not impinge on existing trees.
- Keep the footpath but with the grass bank cut back to make the footpath the original width.
- Have traffic lights at Ground Corner and outside the (*United Reformed*) church so traffic knows when to travel safely. (*Alternate single carriageway working?*)
- Moving the stretch of footpath on the north side of The Street into the Recreation Ground is
  a good idea. General impression is that this footpath is rarely used by pedestrians (who can
  use the footpath on the other side of the road). The extra width of carriageway would
  improve the flow of traffic along that stretch, provided, of course, that parking was not
  allowed on the north side of the road.

- Not sure I would use it on a dark winter night.
- This has been tried and failed and not for lack of money. This stretch of road also has major problems with vehicle damage for resident's parked cars. Therefore it would be sensible to approach the problem from a different angle. This was looked at before and the survey then revealed a BT cable duct under the footpath on the recreation ground side. BT quoted quite a large sum to re-locate the duct (£75K I think). Despite that there is an opportunity to move the road over that footpath (£75K is not a lot in road building terms), build out proper parking refuges beyond a full width pavement on the Walk side of the road. Alternate one way traffic flows could be considered as per Bradford on Avon.
- I disagree with the proposal at T1.1. No statistic in the background and rationale for this section suggests that this path is dangerous. The path was constructed 3 feet wide in the early 1960's alongside a widened carriageway on land acquired from the Parish Council. Fifty years of neglect by the highway authority has resulted in a grossly overgrown hawthorn hedge containing flourishing example of ash, field maple, hazel and elder as well as cultivate briar pushing its way onto the foot way which is severely overrun by motor vehicles. The original 5 inch kerb face has been reduced to 10mm by successive resurfacing without raising the path level. An established ash tree planted in the memorial field overhangs and is damaged daily by tall goods vehicles with the resulting debris further hindering the few pedestrians who use the path. The path is not for the faint hearted and single file is recommended. I use the path twice daily and have been touched by a passing wing mirror on one occasion in the last ten years. There is a currently safe alternative route called "The Walk" where the pedestrian is protected by a No cycling Order which is not well signed yet seldom disobeyed. It cannot be very attractive to cyclists!

# T1.2

- Only allow 2 way traffic at the western end of the Midlands as far as Dawes Pond. All traffic leaving the Glove Factory and the new development to leave via the eastern end by the traffic lights.
- My proposal for one way working would obviate the need for this "improvement". The
  prospect of increasing traffic through the heart of the village goes against all planning
  principles.

### T1.3

- Any informal crossing should not restrict parking outside Holt Superstore, as to do so would affect the viability of the store.
- Provided it doesn't affect passing trade for the shop!
- Only if it does not affect Superstore.
- It is not clear to me what defines an 'informal' pedestrian crossing. In fact, given the difficulty crossing at this point as a pedestrian, and the difficulty negotiating the stretch of road between this point and the Ham Tree Inn as a driver, I think a formal Pelican crossing could alleviate both problems with one solution.
- What is an informal crossing? What we do now?
- Try it as an experiment if there is a history of road accidents involving pedestrians at this
  point.

# T1.4

- Restriction to cars only is not realistic. What about one way?
- Restriction to cars only impacts on residents of The Midlands.
- Have traffic lights at this junction, with the one set of lights set back by the telephone exchange so there is only one way working at the eastern end of the Midlands at one time.
- The perceived problem could be overcome with signal control see below.

#### T1.5

- Not sure this is necessary and could be the "thin end of the wedge" with everyone wanting a 20mph limit.
- Reduce speed limit to 20mph throughout the village.(x3)
- 200yd speed limit causes confusion 20mph through village preferable.
- I am against short sections of road with different speed limits. They are a source of irritation to motorists, who should be spending their time concentrating on driving safely, not looking out for yet more road signs. I am not convinced there is a significant hazard at this crossing and, in practice, conditions at the northern end of Station Road already limit the speed of cars there.
- Don't think this would be observed as some ignore 30mph.
- Why not 20mph through the whole village. Other communities are doing it and the whole of Bristol is going 20mph. WC just keep saying no so we need to be more positive.
- Last winter my wife and I circumnavigated the village just after a snowfall. The only point at which there was any danger was the "new" pedestrian crossing. Had it not been for the alertness of the driver of a westbound car she would have been killed as she was thrown into the road by an uncontrollable slide down the long, steep, pimpled slope from the footpath to the crossing. This approach is potentially dangerous as it has both endfall and crossfall and is quite the worst approach to a crossing I have ever seen. The preferred dropoff zone for the school should be at the grass play area in Little Parks. I have made a suggestion below which would cure this problem. The piecemeal introduction of 20mph lengths of a classified road is hardly likely to be approved and would certainly not be respected.

### T1.6 & T1.7

- Will protected parking be for residents only? (named residents/residences) .
- Not sure!

## T1.6

- Altering the layout of the Little Parks junction could have a benefit for parking. However it should not have the object of reducing the carriageway width below two clear lanes, thus impeding the steady flow of through traffic. If extra parking is essential in that area there is a large space a short way down Little Parks, which could be taken over for parking. But, say I, perish the thought!
- This is the first mention in the plan of reducing speed for traffic LEAVING the village. It should travel at less than 30mph until it leaves the built up area.

## T1.7

- Not a good idea. This will cause much revving of engines, much braking, increase of pollution and long traffic queues. This was proven when the three houses at the end of Melksham Road were being built recently (opposite Great Parks entrance) and traffic control (traffic lights) caused queues of vehicles, including heavy lorries, reaching from the lights past the entrance to Little Parks. Build-outs would have the same effect. Bear in mind also these chicane-type obstructions do depend on the "good?" will of the drivers, something that is not always apparent these days. Many drivers do tend to accelerate when approaching these obstructions if traffic is approaching from the other end.
- I would be very much against this one. It sounds as if the proposal is to have build-outs (chicanes) which would restrict the carriageway to a single lane, to provide parking spaces when, in fact, parking along this stretch is not (yet?) a significant problem. I wonder how many parking spaces would be provided when suitable access requirements to all the

properties on both sides of the road were addressed? More pertinently, the proposed arrangement would seriously affect the flow of traffic. Vehicles would be braking to an unnecessary halt, with revving engines as they started away again giving increased noise and pollution. Such single lane working can and does cause significant tailbacks, with their consequent delays, as experienced during Monday rubbish collections and when repairs necessitate the use of traffic lights. To increase such delays, noise and pollution by design is a seriously bad move. There is an added problem with these chicane-type obstructions which does nothing to add to the safety of the roads. There is a tendency for drivers (particularly younger ones?) to accelerate as they approach the obstruction so that they can get past it before they have to stop in the face of oncoming traffic.

- Would need to see plan before passing opinion.
- This proposal will reduce the available roadside parking.

## **T.2**

- The 40mph signs on the lane Tollgate to Staverton are too high considering bends and visibility.
- A system of chicanes as at BoA, Bathford, and Coronation Avenue in Bath (it works very well) should be considered.
- Should read "To improve and maintain road signage to current statutory standards throughout the area of the plan." In carrying out a review attention should be paid to the recommendations of the Worboys Report of 1963 which inter alia points out that the driver of a vehicle travelling at 30 mph can confidently read five words on a sign provided that the smallest lower case lettering (the letter 'x') is at least 4 inches high. As an example of how bad some of the existing signage is I would submit that the verbose essays directing traffic to the overflow car parks for the Courts Gardens should bear the single word "Parking" with the NT logo and a chevron or arrow added. These should be placed one in position at ground level at the entrance to the Village Hall Car Park where, unlike the current signage it would be visible from the main road, two at each of the two overflow parks and others at intermediate points as required. The signs should be removed when the gardens are closed.

## T2.1

- Traffic from BoA should be reminded that priority at the roundabout is from the right i.e. Staverton Lane.
- Motorists are not taking any notice of signs.
- The mini-roundabout is an accident waiting to happen. Vehicles entering the village from BoA do not expect to stop for vehicles exiting from Staverton Lane (B3105). WC are about to embark on a major improvement to the Forewoods Common junction. When this is done, it would make sense to make Staverton Lane one-way outbound making inbound traffic to Holt come via Forewoods Common. This would improve traffic flows generally, increase safety considerably and allow the creation of a safe cycling route down Staverton Lane.

# T2.2

• I disagree with the Proposal at T2.2 To renew and maintain all white painted road markings in the village. On the section of main road abutting the playing field there is one short line marking out the centre of the carriageway. There was once a series of such lines forming a continuous pattern but fortunately these have not been replaced after subsequent resurfacing and patching. To put them back would indicate that traffic heading towards the shop from the Green should always take precedence over opposing traffic which should always wait until the road is clear. There is barely sufficient width for two moving cars to pass and the present 'give and take ' arrangement works well. It would work better if the 'courtesy' white line at the entrance to the Church were extended to include the length

opposite the main entrance to the Playing Field. There is sometimes a car parked on this section of road which obstructs the entrance of large vehicles into the field and, more importantly obstructs the view of vehicles approaching from opposite ends of the line of parked cars. The Highway code recommends that vehicles should not be parked on the inside of a bend. There is space on the straight section west of this point for thirteen cars to park. There are thirteen properties fronting the road between the Church and the Green. Several have ample off-street parking. The best practical solution would be the provision of light controlled shuttle working which could probably cost less than the extensive tarmacking of yet more of the Playing Field. A single white line 2 metres long should be laid where the path from the Tollgate crosses to the War Memorial to deter the thoughtless motorists who obstruct the footpath and force pedestrians onto the grass. The Objective T2.2 should be "Review periodically the requirements for white lines."

#### T2.3

- This would affect residents on the Lions Orchard development facing on to The Midlands by removing the option of being able to park a second vehicle outside their property. (Only one designated parking space is allocated to each of these properties.)
- Double yellows are for towns not villages.
- I disagree most strongly with the proposal in T2.3 on several grounds. First it is misusing a useful traffic restriction intended to increase the capacity of a carriageway. There is insufficient space for traffic to travel on the carriageway at this point in two directions simultaneously, with or without the restriction. Vehicle parking with one set of wheels on the single foot way is obstructing the safe route for pedestrians, If the problem of parking on this section is not resolved by the building of the massive car park now under construction and a few sharp words from the Community Policeman I should be astonished. If all else fails the replacement of the current kerbing with the standard half battered urban design at the recommended level above the carriageway would certainly achieve the objective required. The cost of the necessary signs and lines and their maintenance is a waste of public funds. The effect of introducing yellow lines on side roads is to encourage parking on main roads. Bradford on Avon at Springfield is a fine example of this error of judgment. The only yellow lines in the village were introduced to provide a safe environment for the school crossing patrol to operate. The school crossing patrol no longer operates at this point and parents are actively discouraged from allowing their charges to cross the road at this point. The introduction of yellow lines throughout the main road would increase the speed of traffic travelling through the village.

## T2.4

- This is just as likely to move the parking problem into the Gravel where the residents already have parking issues.
- Introduction of white lines in Station Road just exacerbate the problem in The Gravel I acknowledge the problem of parking, but this just moves the problem somewhere else.
- Considering the number of residences either side of the B3107 in the Leigh Road area with no off-road parking facility, more thought is needed to assist current residents in the conservation area. ?Provision of secure parking at Manor Farm for residents, OR perhaps it is time to consider a compromise by sacrificing some "green" areas for layby parking.
- Whilst single white lines are an appropriate deterrent for undesirable parking (e.g. at the junction of Beckerley Lane with the B3107) they should be implemented with careful consideration so as <u>not</u> to push parking further into residential areas.
- Residents should be consulted on this point before any lines are painted.
- Include the junction between The Gravel and Station Rd.
- The introduction of yellow lines at the junctions of the main and side roads would invite

motorists to park at the ends of the restrictions. The white courtesy lines at Station Road seem to work quite well. The words "where this is particularly undesirable" is a subjective and unprofessional phrase and should be replaced with "where it regularly occurs and causes obstruction or material reduction of visibility." The clause should end at this point as "such as" and the detailed spots mentioned are inappropriate in a document of this nature.

## T2.5

• The signage on a highway is a matter for the Highway Authority and as such is outside the control of the Parish Council. An illustrative booklet of approved designs is available on-line. The style and size of approved lettering is closely defined. The use of unauthorised signs is a potential cause of accidents on the Highway. The latest example is that advertising the School function held on Friday 27<sup>th</sup> June, still obstructing visibility at the junction of Leigh Road and the B3107 on the morning of the 28<sup>th</sup>. The lettering on the sign is too small to be read by passing motorists making it useless for its intended purpose. The erection of the sign was irresponsible and illegal.

### T.3

- Doubt if this is possible.
- Ban all 6-axle lorries from the village!
- Most important part of the whole plan.
- Strongly agree.
- Ban shouldn't be necessary once the gap road is open.
- This road may never be built.
- Why not try for 7.5t? Environmental weight restrictions are imposed to prevent large vehicles from using inappropriate roads, routes and areas. They are legally enforceable but allow access to property within the restriction. The restrictions aim to:
  - o reduce danger to pedestrians and other road users
  - prevent damage to buildings, roads and bridges
  - o preserve the character, amenity and environment of an area
  - reduce and manage congestion on the roads.
- Would be more useful if less specific. Replace the ending from "once the etc." with "by supporting any proposal in the surrounding area which would supply a more attractive alternative route for such traffic currently on B3107."

# T.4

- Would probably be too expensive to be considered.
- Not if this means losing green field areas.
- Include any impact future changes to major roads e.g. A36, A350 & their HVG traffic being pushed through Holt.
- But not to be ransomed by large housing developments!
- A bypass could affect the viability of the shop no shop and the village dies Beckington is an example of this.
- No bypass it will kill off the village look at Beckington! Passing trade keeps the village shop and PO alive.
- Sorry, but this issue created a great deal of bad feeling in the village when it was last looked
  at, before our time here, and we cannot see any point in resurrecting the issue which was
  rejected previously after a lot of heated discussion. Can we just respect the conclusion
  they came to then??
- I am not particularly in favour of a bypass, I think it reduces the vitality of a village but anything that could be done to reduce the number of large lorries should be pursued.
- Is almost certainly pie in the sky. Once the County Council had elected to improve the A350

route from M4 to Warminster and Somerset had constructed the Frome by-pass any small hope of a strategic route to take through traffic out of the village faded. The only other option is to accept a material increase in the size of the settlement as was done at Chippenham which obtained a western bypass of sorts at the expense of the purchasers of house in the extended residential area. When this option was tried a few years ago in Holt it met with strong opposition which is unlikely to have changed - yet.

#### Other

- T5 There is none at the moment so here are a few possibilities.
- T5.1 Urge the Highway authority to replace the staggered junction at Forwards Common with Traffic signals as has been done at Leigh Cross-Roads. This will reduce the attractiveness of the route and result in a general decreases in speed on the Holt to Bradford journey.
- T5.2 Replace the weight limit on Staverton lane with a width limit. Prohibit the left turn into Staverton Lane to all motor vehicles not requiring access to the two properties and fields fronting onto it. The effect of this would be to enable part of Staverton Lane to be designate for use by Pedestrians and cyclists. Remove the speed limit from Staverton Lane which currently encourages traffic to travel at up to 40mph which is above the safe speed for most of its length. This week the limit is unenforceable anyway as all but one of the repeater signs is obscured by the attractive growth of long grass which makes it look more like a lane again. The traffic lights at the bridge would not require three vehicle phases and would give a green light only on request by cyclists and walkers pushing a button to gain extra inter-green time. The small inconvenience to motorist travelling from Holt to Trowbridge would further deter the use of roads in the village by through traffic. A safe pedestrian and cycle route to Trowbridge could emerge at little cost. A bus service from Staverton to North Bradley would become accessible to those prepared to walk a short distance.
- T5.3 Introduce light controlled shuttle working on the B3107 where it abuts the Playing Field.
- T5.4 Remove the pedestrian crossing. It is underused, avoided and, in winter conditions dangerous to approach. I would not make this suggestion without detailing an alternative. Yes another set of traffic lights. It would be feasible to install a three phase traffic Signal installation at the staggered junction of Station Road and the top exit of The Midlands. It could provide a satisfactory if not ideal access to the proposed development at the Tannery and at the same time incorporate comprehensive pedestrian crossing facilities.
- T5.5 The short section of The Midlands fronting Dawes's pond should be made a one way street with no motor vehicles permitted to travel towards the Car Park entrance. This would increase safety for vehicles leaving the Village Hall car park, minimise the problems of visibility for emerging traffic at the Halt Sign, resolve the obstruction issues at the bend by the Glove factory, maximise the safety of pedestrians and cyclists and obviate the need for any change to the layout of the roads in the vicinity of the shop.